

$\Delta \subseteq E$  root system of rank  $l$ .

Fix a base  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ , simple roots. Recall

- $\Pi$  is a basis for  $E$ , and
- $\alpha \in \Delta \Rightarrow \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i \alpha_i$  where

all  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  or all  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ .

$$\Delta^+ = \left\{ \beta \in \Delta \mid \beta = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i \alpha_i, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

$$\Delta^- = \left\{ \beta \in \Delta \mid \beta = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i \alpha_i, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \right\}$$

Then  $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ ,  $\Delta^- = -\Delta^+$

$\Delta^+$  = set of positive roots

$\Delta^-$  = set of negative roots

For  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ ,  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i \alpha_i$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

define  $ht(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^l k_i$ , called height of  $\beta$ .

Recall  $(,)$  symm. pos. definite bilinear form on  $E$  and  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) < 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

Prop:  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ ,  $\text{ht}(\beta) > 1$ .

$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha_j \in \Pi$  such that  $\beta - \alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ .

Pf: Suppose not. Then  $\beta - \alpha_i$  not a root for any  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

$\Rightarrow (\beta, \alpha_i) \leq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta, \beta) &= \left( \beta, \sum_{i=1}^l k_i \alpha_i \right), \quad k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l k_i (\beta, \alpha_i) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

But  $(\beta, \beta) \geq 0$  since  $(,)$  pos. def.

Hence  $(\beta, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = 0$  which is a contradiction. //

For the root system  $(\Delta, \Pi)$  define

$$C = \left( \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} = (c_{ij}), \quad \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$$

called the Cartan Matrix.

Then  $C$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $c_{ii} = 2$
- (2)  $c_{ij} \leq 0$ ,  $i \neq j$
- (3)  $c_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow c_{ji} = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$
- (4)  $C$  is positive definite.

Defn: A subset  $S$  of  $\Delta$  is reducible if  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $S_i \neq \phi$ ,  $i=1,2$  and  $(S_1, S_2) = 0$ .

$(,)$  pos. definite  $\Rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2 = \phi$ .

We say  $S$  is irreducible if it is not reducible.

Thm:  $\Pi$  is reducible  $\Leftrightarrow \Delta$  reducible.

Pf: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $\Delta$  is reducible.

$\Rightarrow \Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ ,  $\Delta_i \neq \phi$ ,  $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = 0$ .

Then  $\Pi = \underbrace{(\Pi \cap \Delta_1)}_{\Pi_1} \cup \underbrace{(\Pi \cap \Delta_2)}_{\Pi_2}$

Enough to show  $\Pi_i \neq \phi$ ,  $i=1,2$ .

Suppose  $\Pi_1 = \phi = \Pi \cap \Delta_1$

$\Rightarrow \Pi \subset \Delta_2$

$\Rightarrow \forall \beta \in \Delta_1$ , we have  $(\beta, \Pi) = 0$

$\Rightarrow (\beta, E) = 0 \Rightarrow (\beta, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = 0$  which is a contradiction since  $\Delta_1 \neq \phi$ .

$\Rightarrow \pi_1 \neq \phi$ . Similarly  $\pi_2 \neq \phi$ .

$(\Leftrightarrow)$  Suppose  $\pi$  is reducible.

$\Rightarrow \pi = \pi_1 \cup \pi_2, \pi_i \neq \phi, i=1,2$  and  $(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0$ .

Set  $\Delta_i = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi_i) \cap \Delta, i=1,2$ .

Since  $\Delta_i \supset \pi_i \Rightarrow \Delta_i \neq \phi, i=1,2$ .

$(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0 \Rightarrow (\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = 0$ .

Left to show  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$

Enough to show  $\forall \beta \in \Delta^+, \beta \in \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ .

~~Use~~ Use induction on  $\text{ht}(\beta)$ .

If  $\text{ht}(\beta) = 1$ , then  $\beta \in \pi$ . Hence  $\beta \in \Delta_i$  for some  $i=1,2$ .

Suppose  $\text{ht}(\beta) > 1$  and assume for any  $\gamma \in \Delta^+$  with  $\text{ht}(\gamma) < \text{ht}(\beta)$  we have  $\gamma \in \Delta_i$  for some  $i=1,2$ .

$$\text{ht}(\beta) > 1 \Rightarrow \beta \notin \Pi.$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \bullet \Delta^+ \text{ (by Prop.)}$$

$$\text{Since } \text{ht}(\gamma_i) < \text{ht}(\beta), \quad i=1, 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_i \in \Delta_j \text{ for some } j=1, 2.$$

$$\text{If } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Delta_i \Rightarrow \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \in \Delta_i \Rightarrow \beta \in \Delta_i.$$

$$\text{Suppose } \gamma_1 \in \Delta_1, \quad \gamma_2 \in \Delta_2.$$

$$(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = 0 \Rightarrow (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0.$$

$$\text{Suppose } \gamma_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \alpha_j \in \bullet \Pi_i}}^l k_{ji} \alpha_j, \quad i=1, 2, \quad k_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\Delta \ni \sigma_{\gamma_1}(\beta) = \sigma_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$$

$$= \sigma_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_1) + \sigma_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2)$$

$$= -\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \gamma_1 = -\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$$

which is a contradiction.

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Delta_i \text{ for some } i=1, 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \in \Delta_i \text{ for some } i=1, 2. //$$

Hence it is sufficient to classify all irreducible root systems.

Fix an irreducible root system of rank  $l$ ,  $(\Delta, \Pi)$ ,  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  simple roots.

$$C = (\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle)_{l \times l} \quad \text{Cartan matrix}$$

Recall an  $l \times l$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{l \times l}$  is decomposable if  $\exists$  a permutation  $\sigma \in S_l$  such that

$$(a_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)})_{l \times l} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

It is indecomposable if  $A$  is not decomposable.

Remark:  $(\Delta, \Pi)$  is irreducible

$\iff C = (\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle)_{l \times l}$  is indecomposable.